Bath & North East Somerset Council				
DECISION MAKER:	Cllr Anthony Clarke, Cabinet Member for Transport			
DECISION DATE:	On or after 1 st June 2016	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE: E 2873		
Traffic Regulation Order (VARIOUS ROADS, NORTH EAST OUTER AREA, BATH) (PROHIBITION AND RESTRICTION OF PARKING AND LOADING) (NO STOPPING ON ENTRANCE MARKINGS) (AUTHORISED AND DESIGNATED PARKING PLACES) (VARIATION NO. 3) ORDER 201*Consideration of responses to public consultations				
WARD:	Lambridge, Larkhall, and Walcot			
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM				

List of attachments to this report:

Appendix 1 – Plan of proposals

Appendix 2 – Comments received in response to public consultation

1 THE ISSUE

This report considers comments received in response to public advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order: (VARIOUS ROADS, NORTH EAST OUTER AREA, BATH) (PROHIBITION AND RESTRICTION OF PARKING AND LOADING) (NO STOPPING ON ENTRANCE MARKINGS) (AUTHORISED AND DESIGNATED PARKING PLACES) (VARIATION NO. 3) ORDER 201* ("TRO")

2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet Member is asked to agree that the advertised proposals are implemented, modified or withdrawn as below:

2.1 Restrictions as detailed on plan H5.

Roads affected: Ragland Lane, Raglan Villas and Solsbury Way

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>implemented in part</u> as the Council received 4 objections to the implementation of these restrictions at the junction of Ragland Lane / Raglan Villas and no comments of support. It is therefore recommended, due to the limited on-street parking stock, that these proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings are not implemented at this time. However, the

proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings, at the junction of Solsbury Way / Ragland Lane, received no objections and should be implemented on safety grounds, improving the visibility and accessibility around this junction.

2.2 Restrictions as detailed on plan H6.

Roads affected: Arundel Road, Snow Hill and Kensington Gardens

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>implemented</u> as the Council received no objections and these restrictions were agreed with local councillors on a walk about.

2.3 Restrictions as detailed on plan H7.

Roads affected: Bennetts Lane and Snow Hill.

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>implemented</u> as the Council received 2 comments of support, 4 of support in part and 2 objections. As these proposals have been agreed by Local Councillors, during a walk about, to aid accessibility and for the passage of refuse and emergency vehicles it is recommended that these proposals are implemented.

2.4 Restrictions as detailed on plan I4.

Roads affected: Eldon Place.

Restriction: Proposed removal of No Waiting At Any Time markings.

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>implemented</u> as the Council received no objections and on-street parking stock is in high demand.

2.5 Restrictions as detailed on plan I5.

Roads affected: Salisbury Road.

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings.

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>Implemented</u> as the Council received no objections to these recommendations.

2.6 Restrictions as detailed on plan I7.

Roads affected: Ringswell Gardens.

Restriction: Proposed removal of No Waiting At Any Time markings.

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>Implemented</u> as the Council received no objections to these recommendations.

2.7 Restrictions as detailed on plan J3.

Roads affected: Bailbrook Lane

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>withdrawn</u> at this time as the Council received 4 objections and no comments of support. On-street parking stock is in great demand in this area and so it is recommended that these restrictions, due to the lack of resident support, are not implemented.

2.8 Restrictions as detailed on plan J6.

Roads affected: Grosvenor Bridge Road

Restriction: Proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings

Recommendation: That the proposals are <u>withdrawn</u> at this time as the Council received 3 objections and no comments of support. On-street parking stock is in great demand in this area and so it is recommended that these restrictions, due to the lack of resident support, are not implemented.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 The cost of this work is estimated to be £1.5 2.5k and is funded from within the Transport Improvement Block capital programme, Parking Capital Budget.
- 3.2 Road markings have a life expectancy of between 7 and 10 years. The consultation process included Highways and no concerns were raised regarding on-going maintenance costs as these works can be incorporated within the existing revenue budget. The highways maintenance budget is prioritised for road safety issues in the first instance. However parking restrictions do need to be maintained to ensure enforcement can be undertaken and these will be incorporated in existing budgets as part of those works.
- 3.3 There is no impact to parking income from these changes.

4 CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The following corporate objectives apply:
 - Creating neighbourhoods where people are proud to live
 - Building a stronger economy

5 THE REPORT

5.1 The proposals were publicly advertised from 7th April 2016 to 28th April 2016. The proposals are shown in plan form in Appendix 1. The proposals were developed as the result of the concerns of the Traffic & Safety, Parking and Traffic Management Teams, Ward Councillors and local residents, caused by increasing problems related to parking, which is becoming a greater concern on many streets around Bath due to the increasing volume of vehicles on the roads and the growing number of vehicles parking inappropriately. A total of 20 responses were received during the public consultation. The responses are summarised in Appendix 2.

- 5.2 Consideration needs to be given to the responses received and a decision made on the way forward. Common law has established that a highway is a defined route over which "the public at large" can pass and repass as frequently as they wish, without hindrance and without charge. Consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. The consideration of objections to the introduction of controls has to be considered in this context.
- 5.3 The TRO is being proposed as it is the duty of every local authority to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities as set out in section 122 Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

6 RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 The report author and Cabinet Member have fully reviewed the risk assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

7 RATIONALE

7.1 The proposals are designed to address operational traffic issues and parking capacity issues.

8 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

8.1 None considered.

9 CONSULTATION

- 9.1 Ward Councillors; Cabinet members; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Other Public Sector Bodies; Section 151 Finance Officer; Monitoring Officer
- 9.2 Ward Councillors, Emergency Services and local residents have been consulted via public advertisement. Internal officers have been consulted via circulation of this report.

10 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION

10.1 Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Health & Safety.

11 ADVICE SOUGHT

11.1 The Council's Monitoring Officer (Head of Legal and Democratic Services) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

Contact person	Kris Gardom Parking Engineer 01225 395362
Background papers	Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996

Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format